A Study of TANF Work Participation and Engagement

August 19-21, 2013

National Association for Welfare Research and Statistics Conference

Michelle Derr Elizabeth Laird Gretchen Kirby Julia Lyskawa



Study Context

- Increasing federal interest in TANF recipients with zero hours in work participation activities
- Limited documentation on how the recession affected the services and resources available to engage TANF recipients
- State and local TANF agencies are interested in effective strategies for increasing engagement and employment outcomes

Study Description

Research questions:

- What circumstances explain client participation—or nonparticipation
 —in work activities?
- What strategies have states and localities implemented to increase participation in federally defined and other work or work-related activities?

Data collection

- Site visits to 11 communities in 8 states
 - Sonoma County, CA; Hartford and Norwich, CT; Pinellas County, FL; Burlington, IA; Hennepin and Stearns counties, MN; New York City, NY; Dallas, TX; Wasatch Front North and South Regions, UT
- Telephone interviews with 30 state TANF administrators
- Document review (e.g., policy manuals, management reports, organizational materials, etc.)

Presentation Overview

- **Changing TANF Program Environment**
- Policies and Programs that Influence the TANF Caseload
- Services and Supports to Encourage Engagement
- Engagement in Federally Defined Work Activities – Reasons for Counting and Not Counting
- Strategies for Increasing Engagement and Program Outcomes

Changing TANF Program Environment

- **TANF** programs facing:
 - Reduced budgets resulting in fewer staff and limited supports for recipients
 - Increased caseloads/workloads
 - Narrowed service options
- More part-time employment now than in the past
- Some states revisiting TANF program design

Policies and Programs that Influence the TANF Caseload Composition

- Policies influence who comes on, stays on, and is removed from the TANF caseload
- TANF caseload composition may influence service delivery needs necessary for engagement
 - More work-ready can immediately focus on employment
 - Hard-to-employ may require more intensive service approach
- States with a lower effective work participation rate have greater flexibility with program design

Policies and Programs (cont.)

Circumstances of those on the TANF caseload

- Families with documented barriers
 - Broadly defined exemptions keep them on the TANF caseload
 - Use of solely state-funded programs removes them

Nonparticipants/Those who are difficult to engage

- Gradual full-family and partial sanctions keep them on the caseload
- Upfront work requirements and immediate full-family sanctions remove them

Working or work-ready clients

- High earned income disregard and worker supplement programs keep them on the caseload
- Lump sum payments and temporary support programs remove workready from the caseload

Services and Supports to Encourage Engagement

- Upfront work activities designed to immediately engage clients in work activities
 - Orientation sessions
 - Assessment and employment planning
 - Upfront job search
- Activities focus primarily on job search, unsubsidized employment, and basic education
 - One site, NYC, relies heavily on work experience
 - Other sites sometimes use community service, work experience, subsidized employment
 - Four study states encourage and support education and training

Services and Supports (cont.)

- Specialized programs for those with documented physical and/or mental health conditions
 - NYC—WeCARE
 - Utah—Licensed Clinical Therapists
 - lowa—Home visiting program
- Resources available to address personal and work-related needs
 - Primarily transportation and child care supports offered
 - In some states budget cuts have reduced the availability and/or the accessibility of these services
 - Clients mostly linked to resources in the community
 - Two study states provide up to \$1,000 in flexible funding for work supports (IA, UT)

Engagement in Federally Defined Work Activities

- Reports on Engagement (RoE) used to closely examine participation in federally defined work activities
- Study site visits used to better understand engagement
- Clients who are meeting work requirements
 - Typically engaged in unsubsidized employment, job search, and/or education and training
 - Attempt to quickly engage them in work activities
 - Mandatory timelines for staff to complete service delivery processes (such as processing applications, linking clients to activities)

Engagement (cont.)

Clients with zero participation hours

 Reasons include: disregarded from participation, state and temporary exemptions, in sanction process, first month on TANF, administrative delays, failure to engage

Contributing factors

- Broadly defined exemptions with no program requirement
- Delays with accessing child care and/or transportation
- Waiting for activities to begin
- Inability to quickly detect and address nonparticipation
- Unresponsive sanctioning policy and/or process

Engagement (cont.)

Engaged, but not counting

 Reasons: non-countable activities, hours that do not meet verification standards, hours beyond the statutory time limit on participation, unreported countable hours

Contributing factors

- Part-time work with varying schedules and fluctuating hours
- Policies that allow for extended participation in job search and education
- Limited service options and personal/work supports
- Problems with reporting and verifying work participation

Strategies for Increasing Engagement and Program Outcomes

- Clear expectations for clients, staff, and providers
- Training on managing caseloads, reporting/ verifying hours
- Meaningful work activities that motivate and support clients
- Management reports that raise awareness of client/caseload status
 - Caseload activity reports and formal case reviews
 - Developing performance management reports

Strategies (cont.)

- Quickly enforcing consequences for nonparticipation
- Improvements in data quality
- Formal initiatives for improving business processes
 - Utah's Theory of Constraints/Throughput Operational Strategy
- **Use of performance-based contracts**
- Federally funded employment initiatives (such as ARRA subsidized employment)

For More Information

№ Please contact:

- Michelle Derr
 - mderr@mathematica-mpr.com
 - (202) 484-4830